

**CENTRAL MINNESOTA AREA TRANSPORTATION PARTNERSHIP
 FY 2029 TRANSPORTATION ALTERNATIVES (TA) PROGRAM
 PROJECT REVIEW AND SCORE SHEET -- HISTORIC TRANSPORTATION GROUPING**

Proposed Project Title:		Reviewer:	
Applicant:		Review Date:	
Proposed Project Submitted for Earlier TAP Funding:		No or Yes (review sheets attached)	
CRITERION #1 - Plan Identification		Score #1	xx
<input type="checkbox"/> Excellent (21-25 pts)	Project specifically identified in multiple adopted/approved regional, tribal, or local plans that demonstrated a high level of public involvement. Specific sections of regional, tribal, or local plans provided for reference.		
<input type="checkbox"/> Above Average (16-20 pts)	Project specifically identified in one adopted/approved regional, tribal, or local plan that demonstrated a high level of public involvement. Specific sections of regional, tribal, or local plans provided for reference.		
<input type="checkbox"/> Average (11-15 pts)	Project consistent w/one or more regional, tribal, or local plans w/some public involvement. Specific sections of regional, tribal, or local plans may or may not have been provided.		
<input type="checkbox"/> Fair (6-10 pts)	Planning explanation/documentation is very limited		
<input type="checkbox"/> Poor (0-5 pts)	No planning documentation provided to support the project		
Strengths/ Weakness			
CRITERION #2 - Existing Conditions and Quality of Life		Score #2	xx
<input type="checkbox"/> Excellent 21-25 pts)	Applicant details the existing condition of the project area. Applicant sufficiently addresses existing safety concerns (structural or otherwise). Applicant gives percentages from Space Tool. Applicant details how project helps traditionally underserved or marginally disadvantaged populations or if there are adverse impacts, how this will affect their everyday lives. Proposed project will have a significant impact on the community and the local recreation and tourism economy.		
<input type="checkbox"/> Above Average (16-20 pts)	Applicant details the existing condition of the project area. Applicant addresses existing safety concerns (structural or otherwise). Applicant gives percentages from Space Tool. Project helps traditionally underserved or marginally disadvantaged populations or if there are adverse impacts, but lacking details as to how environmental justice impacts will be mitigated to prevent adverse impacts. Details on the proposed project's impact on the community and local recreational and/or tourism economy are present, but not as significant.		
<input type="checkbox"/> Average (11-15 pts)	Details on the existing condition of the project area are incomplete. Applicant's details on the existing safety concerns (structural or otherwise) are incomplete. Applicant gives percentages from Space Tool. Project has the potential to impact traditionally underserved or marginally disadvantaged populations given proximity, or if there are adverse impacts; however, lacks details as to how environmental justice impacts will be mitigated to prevent adverse impacts. Details on the proposed project's impact on the community and local recreational and/or tourism economy are lacking.		
<input type="checkbox"/> Fair (6-10 pts)	Details on the existing condition of the project are incomplete. Applicant didn't give percentages from Space Tool. Applicant's details on the existing safety concerns (structural or otherwise) are missing. Project does not impact traditionally underserved populations. Details on the proposed project's impact on the community and local recreational and/or tourism economy are missing or incomplete.		
<input type="checkbox"/> Poor (0-5 pts)	Details on the existing condition of the project area are missing. Applicant didn't give percentages from Space Tool. Applicant's details on the existing safety concerns (structural or otherwise) are missing. Proposed project does not have an impact on the local recreational and/or tourism economy. Details on traditionally underserved populations missing.		
Strengths/ Weakness			
CRITERION #3 -Historic Preservation		Score #3	xx
<input type="checkbox"/> Excellent (17-20 pts)	Proposed project has been designated as a historic place/property/landmark. Proposed project details significant contributions to enhancing, preserving, and/or protecting historic/archaeological resources. Applicant has documented a strong significance between the proposed project and its relationship to the past and/or current transportation system.		

<input type="checkbox"/> Above Average (13-16 pts)	Proposed project has been designated as a historic place/property/landmark. Key proposed project details are present, but not as significant on how the project will contribute to enhancing, preserving, and/or protecting historic/archaeological resources. Details on how the proposed project's significance to the past and/or current transportation system are discernable and clear.
<input type="checkbox"/> Average (9-12 pts)	Specific historic designation status is unclear. Proposed project details are incomplete in describing how the project will enhance, preserve, or protect the historic/archaeological resources. Project's connection to the past or current transportation system is unclear.
<input type="checkbox"/> Fair (5-8 pts)	Specific historic designation status is unclear. Project details are missing describing how the project will enhance, preserve, or protect the historic/archaeological resources. Project has minimal connection to the past or current transportation system.
<input type="checkbox"/> Poor (0-4 pts)	Project does not have a specific historic designation. Project details are missing describing how the project will enhance, preserve, or protect the historic/archaeological resources. Project has no connection to the past or current transportation system.
Strengths/ Weakness	

CRITERION #4 - Feasibility	Score #5	xx
-----------------------------------	-----------------	-----------

<input type="checkbox"/> Excellent (17-20 pts)	20-year maintenance plan is clearly identified. Facility proposed will be used year round. Project Development has been identified. Environmental path has been identified along with all potential permits needed to complete the project. Financial plan describes how applicant will be leveraging the local match for the federal TA program funds.
<input type="checkbox"/> Above Average (13-16 pts)	20-year maintenance plan is clearly identified. Facility proposed will be use year round. Project Development is in progress/nearing completion. Environmental path has been identified however is lacking mention of potential permits needed to complete the project. Financial plan describes how applicant will be leveraging the local match for the federal TA program funds.
<input type="checkbox"/> Average (9-12 pts)	20-year maintenance plan is clearly identified but proposed facility will only be used part of the year. Detailed scoping study has been completed. Environmental path has been identified however is lacking mention of potential permits needed to complete the project. Financial plan missing details on how applicant will be leveraging the local match for the federal TA program funds.
<input type="checkbox"/> Fair (5-8 pts)	20-year maintenance plan is unclear. Facility will only be used part of the year. Detailed scoping study is in progress/nearing completion. Environmental path has not been identified. Financial plan is missing details on how applicant will be leveraging the local match for the federal TA program funds.
<input type="checkbox"/> Poor (0-4 pts)	20-year maintenance plan is unclear. Facility will only be used part of the year. Scoping study has not started. Environmental path has not been identified. Financial plan is missing.
Strengths/ Weakness	

CRITERION #5 - Real Estate/Right of Way	Score #6	xx
--	-----------------	-----------

<input type="checkbox"/> Excellent (9-10 pts)	No real estate or ROW acquisition or easement is needed. (ROW is 100% owned.)
<input type="checkbox"/> Above Average (7-8 pts)	REAL ESTATE ONLY: No real estate acquisition is needed. ROW ONLY: Some ROW acquisition or easement is needed from another public entity, negotiations have begun and agreements have been drafted and execution is likely.
<input type="checkbox"/> Average (6-5 pts)	REAL ESTATE ONLY: Real estate acquisition is needed from another public/private entity, negotiations have begun and agreements have been drafted and execution is likely. ROW ONLY: Some ROW acquisition or easement is needed from one or more public and private property owners, negotiations have begun, but no agreements are in place.
<input type="checkbox"/> Fair (3-4 pts)	REAL ESTATE ONLY: Real estate acquisition is needed from private property owner(s) and negotiations have just been initiated ROW ONLY: Significant ROW acquisition or easement is still needed from one or more public and private property owners with little to no negotiations having been started.
<input type="checkbox"/> Poor (0-2 pts)	REAL ESTATE ONLY: Real estate acquisition process has not begun. ROW ONLY: None of the ROW or easements have been acquired and no negotiations have started.
Strengths/ Weakness	

(100 Total Points Available) - TOTAL SCORE	0
---	----------