




RECOMMENDATION:
Retain both for more consideration.

Figure 2
Interchange Concept 1A
New Ulm



CH2MHILL 500 feet August 2004



RECOMMENDATION:
Retain 1B.1 for more consideration;
eliminate 1B.2 (transitions up/down the
bluff at a location that fails to satisfy
access management goals).

Figure 3
Interchange Concept 1B
New Ulm

CH2MHILL 500 feet August 2004



RECOMMENDATION:
Eliminate (split diamond layout is not necessary and not compatible with the rural nature of the area).

Figure 4
Interchange Concept 1C
New Ulm





RECOMMENDATION:
Retain both for more consideration.

Figure 5
Interchange Concept 1D
New Ulm





RECOMMENDATION:
Retain both for more consideration.

Figure 6
Interchange Concept 1E
New Ulm





RECOMMENDATION:
Retain for more consideration.

Figure 7
Interchange Concept 2A
Courtland

