

Category	Programmatic Evaluation: Independent Bikeway or Walkway Projects (5/23/77)	Programmatic Evaluation: Use of Historic Bridges (7/5/83)	Programmatic Evaluation: Minor Involvement with Parks, Recreation Lands, Waterfowl or Wildlife Refuges (12/23/86)	Programmatic Evaluation: Minor Involvement with Historic Sites (12/23/86)	Programmatic Evaluation: Projects that have a Net Benefit to a Section 4(f) property (4/20/05)	Section 4(f) De Minimis impact finding for Parks, Recreation Areas, Waterfowl and Wildlife Refuges (12/13/05)	Section 4(f) De Minimis impact finding for Historic Sites (12/13/05)								
Resource Applicability	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Parks or recreation areas (not applicable to wildlife or waterfowl refuges, or historic sites) No motorized vehicles on facility except bikeway/walkway maintenance plus snowmobiles where State/local regs permit 	Historic bridge that is not a National Historic Landmark. Not <i>likely</i> a good fit for a bridge that is not individually eligible for the NRHP; bridge as contributing element only scenario.	Parks, recreation lands, and waterfowl and wildlife refuges that are adjacent to an existing [road] facility	Historic sites that are adjacent to an existing [road] facility	All Section 4(f) resources	Parks, recreation lands, and waterfowl and wildlife refuges	Historic sites								
Project Type	Independent bikeway or walkway project, not incidental activities of a highway project.	Rehabilitation or replacement of non-rail historic bridges.	Improvement of operating characteristics, safety, and/or physical condition of an existing highway on essentially the same alignment.	Improvement of operating characteristics, safety, and/or physical condition of an existing highway on essentially the same alignment.	Any project	Any project	Any project								
NEPA Process	Cannot be used for projects processed with an EIS.	Can be used with any process (EIS, EA, CE).	Cannot be used for projects processed with an EIS.	Cannot be used for projects processed with an EIS.	Can be used with any process (EIS, EA, CE).	Can be used with any process (EIS, EA, CE).	Can be used with any process (EIS, EA, CE).								
Impact Threshold	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> No use of critical habitat of federally-listed species No unusual circumstances (e.g major impacts, controversy) 	If bridge can be rehabilitated without affecting the historic integrity, Section 4(f) does not apply. If the bridge is to be demolished and/or replaced, Section 4(f) applies. If replacement is proposed, the bridge must be made available for an alternative use.	The amount of property that may be acquired/used is limited as specified below: <table border="1" data-bbox="1010 1181 1395 1499"> <thead> <tr> <th>Total size of Section 4(f) Site</th> <th>Maximum to be Acquired</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td><10 acres</td> <td>10% of site</td> </tr> <tr> <td>10 – 100 acres</td> <td>1 acre</td> </tr> <tr> <td>>100 acres</td> <td>1% of site</td> </tr> </tbody> </table>	Total size of Section 4(f) Site	Maximum to be Acquired	<10 acres	10% of site	10 – 100 acres	1 acre	>100 acres	1% of site	Project may not remove or alter historic building, structures or objects, or archeological resources important for preservation in place. Project must result in a determination of no effect or no adverse effect via the Section 106 process.	No impact limits, but project results in an overall enhancement to the resource. For historic resources the project doesn't necessarily require a no effect or no adverse effect determination, but property must remain eligible for NRHP.	Impacts that do not adversely affect the activities, features and attributes of the Section 4(f) resource. De Minimis impact finding includes project avoidance, minimization, mitigation and enhancement measures.	Section 106 determination of "no historic properties affected" or "no adverse effect."
Total size of Section 4(f) Site	Maximum to be Acquired														
<10 acres	10% of site														
10 – 100 acres	1 acre														
>100 acres	1% of site														
Coordination and Concurrence Requirements	Official with jurisdiction concurs in writing that the project is acceptable and consistent with designated use of the property.	SHPO/THPO concurs in writing with assessment of impacts and proposed mitigation.	Official with jurisdiction concurs in writing with assessment of impacts and proposed mitigation.	SHPO/THPO concurs in writing with assessment of impacts and proposed mitigation.	Official with jurisdiction or SHPO/THPO concurs in writing with assessment of impacts and proposed mitigation. For projects with a public meeting(s) or hearing(s), information on the proposed use of the Section 4(f) resources must be communicated to the public	Official with jurisdiction is informed of FHWA intention to make the de minimis impact determination based on official with jurisdiction's concurrence that the impacts do not adversely affect the activities, features and attributes of the Section 4(f) resource.	SHPO/THPO (plus: ACHP, if participating; NPS if National Historic Landmark) must: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ➤ Be informed of intent to apply de minimis impact finding ➤ Concur (in writing) with the Section 106 determination 								

Category	Programmatic Evaluation: Independent Bikeway or Walkway Projects (5/23/77)	Programmatic Evaluation: Use of Historic Bridges (7/5/83)	Programmatic Evaluation: Minor Involvement with Parks, Recreation Lands, Waterfowl or Wildlife Refuges (12/23/86)	Programmatic Evaluation: Minor Involvement with Historic Sites (12/23/86)	Programmatic Evaluation: Projects that have a Net Benefit to a Section 4(f) property (4/20/05)	Section 4(f) De Minimis impact finding for Parks, Recreation Areas, Waterfowl and Wildlife Refuges (12/13/05)	Section 4(f) De Minimis impact finding for Historic Sites (12/13/05)
Public Involvement	None required outside of NEPA process and Section 106 process	None required outside of NEPA process and Section 106 process	None required outside of NEPA process and Section 106 process	None required outside of NEPA process and Section 106 process	None required outside of NEPA process and Section 106 process	Public notice; opportunity for public review and comment	None required outside of NEPA process and Section 106 process. For projects with one or more public meetings/hearings, info on the proposed use shall be communicated to the public.

*FHWA Division administrator makes the final determination regarding Section 4(f) applicability and acceptability.