



ATP-7 Transportation Alternatives Project Evaluation

APPLICANT:

REVIEWER:

CATEGORY (POSSIBLE POINTS)	SCORE
<p>ELIGIBILITY, DESCRIPTION & OVERVIEW (25)</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> Project meets criteria for at least one of the eligible activities; If for walking and biking infrastructure, does it serve a transportation purpose? Application thoroughly and accurately describes the proposed project, including maps, graphics, and/or photos How will this project improve transportation¹? (e.g. what is it connecting, how will it be used, what problems does it solve?) 	
<p>PLAN IDENTIFICATION AND IMPLEMENTATION (20)</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> The project was identified in a plan that underwent a robust public input process. There is public consensus The applicant included a copy of the plan pages which include: (a) where the project was identified and (b) outlining the public input process The applicant provided an explanation of project phasing, if applicable The project addresses health, safety, and accessibility issues If a Safe Routes to School (SRTS) project, the applicant included appropriate documentation from the MnDOT SRTS Coordinator Rehabilitation of existing infrastructure 	
<p>DELIVERABILITY (25)</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> The applicant understood and was able to favorably answer all the risk assessment questions (e.g. right of way, railroad, communications with land owners) The project is not anticipated to be controversial A licensed engineer, with experience delivering federally-funded projects, is overseeing the project 	
<p>LOCAL GOVERNMENT SUPPORT & SPONSOR (10)</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> All local units of government have come together to support the project Resolutions of support from all parties have been obtained and submitted An "Agreement to Maintain Facility" resolution has been completed and submitted The applicant thoroughly describes the Project Sponsor/Applicant role and support for the project, including the need for on-going maintenance If the applicant is different than the Project Sponsor, the applicant clearly described how the responsibilities will be delegated 	
<p>FINANCIAL INFORMATION (10)</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> The applicant describes elements of the project which are eligible for TA funding and elements which are not eligible The TA eligible costs exceed the project award minimum identified for TA projects The applicant has determined how the local match (at least 20% of eligible costs) will be funded The applicant provided the total project budget and where the funds are coming—or are expected to come—from If this project is part of a larger project, in addition to TA funds, what other funding sources have been identified. The applicant has identified where additional funds, beyond what is listed in the estimate, will come from should any unforeseen circumstances arise The applicant describes the budget for operations and maintenance of the project and the source of the funds needed for operations and maintenance 	
<p>OTHER (5)</p> <p>This category is not to be addressed specifically by the applicant. but will be rated using information provided throughout the application. It is an opportunity for the ranking team members to make judgments about the application in consideration of factors other than those specifically named in the application, including, but not limited to:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> Geographic equity (i.e. area that has received little TA funding) Type of activity (e.g. eligible activities that are not routinely funded) (This is not new language—created a new line to split from geography) Applicant and sponsor previous history with TA (former TE/TAP) project implementation Explanation of trail connections and trail gaps (can be answered in number 3) Communication with landowners on proposed use of land—(can be answered in 11) Explanation of later phases (moved to number 7) Explanation of any special maintenance needs Provided project map/graphics/photos (moved to number 2) 	
<p>EQUITY (5)</p> <p>This score to be provided by staff based on project location population Census and health statistics (10 factors compared to over/under state average)².</p>	
<p>LOI COMPLETION (BONUS 5)</p> <p>This is to be provided by staff based on completion of the Letter of Intent (LOI). LOIs are not a requirement of the application, but completion helps develop more thorough, competitive, and deliverable applications.</p>	
TOTAL POINTS	

¹ Depending on project type, could also be: mitigate for transportation impacts (e.g. stormwater project) or enhance transportation facilities (e.g. historical preservation, scenic byways)

² Population statistics include: 65+, 5-17, access to vehicles, disability, people of color, poverty, life expectancy, high school diploma, foreign-born, and limited English speaking